# SMARTITEM<sup>TM</sup> VS AIG

YOU'VE HEARD THE TERMS, BUT WHAT DO THEY MEAN?

HERE ARE SOME OF THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN

CAVEON'S SMARTITEM AND AUTOMATIC ITEM GENERATION (AIG).

#### NUMBER OF ITEMS

The goal of SmartItem technology is to reduce the size of an item bank to, ideally, one item per objective.

1

The goal of AIG is to expand an item bank by creating hundreds or thousands of items automatically.

#### GOALS

While security is one goal, a
SmartItem has other equally as
important goals, such as cost
savings, fairness, convenience, etc.

2

The goal of AIG is increase item capacity, "primarily because of concerns with security." (Henry Braun, ETS, 2002)

# TRANSITORY VS STATIC

Item versions are created on-thefly. While the test-taker data is captured, the item is transitory and it is unlikely it will ever be seen again. 3

Item versions created from AIG are static, saved and formalized items.

#### FIELD TESTING AND REVIEW

Item versions created by a
SmartItem do not need field
testing or review beyond the
quality-assurance process of the
entire SmartItem.

4

Item versions created from AIG are usually reviewed and/or field tested during the development process.

## UNUSED ITEMS

Item versions are created by the SmartItem on an as-needed basis.

There is no waste.

5

Many item versions created from AIG may not ever be used because there will be no need for them.

## SECURITY CONCERNS

By covering an entire objective with numerous item versions, a SmartItem enhances security by making theft irrelevant and most forms of cheating impossible.

6

AIG enhances security by having a large number of replacement items to use when original items are compromised.

# FORMS

Utilizing SmartItem technology eliminates the need for forms and many other features of traditional test design. 7

AIG creates items that fit within existing test designs, such as the use of equivalent or equated forms.

# RANDOM ERROR VARIANCE

Item variations are NOT assumed to have equivalent statistical properties
—increasing the random error variance to remove much larger systematic error variance due to

8

AIG attempts to reduce error variance by relying on item models that are pre-calibrated to produce item variations where the calibrations can apply.

cheating.